TAYLOR & STEVENS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DAVID A. TAYLOR WILLIAM L. STEVENS

326 West Main St., P.O. Box 901 Danville, KY 40423-0901 (859) 236-2167

October 1, 2009

RECEIVED

OCT 0 2 2009

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

Public Service Commission 211 Sower Boulevard P. O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

In re: Alleged Failure of the City of Danville to Comply with KRS 278.160 and 278.180 and the Commission's Order of August 10, 1994 in Administrative Case No. 351 Case No. 2008-00176

To Whom It May Concern:

Enclosed you should find an original and ten copies of the Response of Lake Village Water Association in the above matter. Please file of record. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

TAYLOR & STEVENS Attorneys at law

WILLIAM L. STEVENS

WLS/ab

Enclosure

dan.work\lvwa\psc\danville-psc ltr. 10-09

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In t	he	Ma	atte	er o	f:

ALLEGED FAILURE OF THE CITY OF DANVILLE TO COMPLY WITH KRS 278.160 AND 278.180 AND THE)))	CASE NO. 2008-0017
COMMISSION'S ORDER OF AUGUST 10, 1994 IN ADMINISTRATIVE CASE NO. 351)	

Comes now Lake Village Water Association, Inc. ("Lake Village") by counsel and for its response to the Order of the Commission entered in the within case on September 25, 2009, would state as follows:

- 1. That, based on its prima facie finding that the City of Danville ("Danville") had violated KRS 278.160 and 180 as well as the Order of the Commission entered in Administrative Case No. 351, the Commission ordered Danville in the within case to show cause why it should not be subject to penalties for these violations.
- 2. That the Commission made Lake Village, Parksville Water District, and Garrard County Water Association, Inc. parties to these proceedings.
 - 3. That a hearing on the show cause order was held March 10, 2009.
- 4. That the evidence introduced at the hearing clearly demonstrated that Danville increased the water rates charged to Lake Village (as well as the other parties) without seeking or receiving approval of PSC. It also demonstrated that the increase was the result of a failure to make a specific, required exception to the standard account operations of Danville, which failure initially occurred without the knowledge of the City

Engineer or City Manager. The evidence further established that Danville did not refund the monies collected as a result of the increases prior to the hearing, although it had been made aware of the increases.

5. That following the close of the hearing the presiding hearing officer advised the parties that, based on the evidence produced at the hearing, a settlement should be negotiated.

6. That Lake Village and Danville reached a settlement agreement that resulted in a repayment plan to Lake Village of the agreed upon over charges.

7. That the settlement did not make provision for payment of a penalty nor was a penalty discussed by these parties, for reason that neither Lake Village nor Danville has authority to impose or waive penalties.

8. That Lake Village is not seeking the imposition of penalties.

9. That the decision as to whether Danville should or should not be subject to penalties for violation of the statutes, regulations, and Administrative Order lies within the sound discretion of the Commission.

Respectfully submitted this _____ day of October, 2009.

TAYLOR & STEVENS ATTORNEYS FOR LAKE VILLIAGE WATER ASSOCITAION, INC. 337 West Broadway Street P.O. Box 901 Danville, Kentucky 40423 859-236-2167

Bv:

WILLIAM 🟒 STEVENS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

WILLIAM L STEVENS

dan.work\lvwa\psc\danville.response on penalties.doc